

Credit Value Adjustment

CVA

Credit value adjustment is the market price of counterparty credit risk that has become a central part of counterparty credit risk management. By definition, Credit value adjustment is the difference between the risk-free portfolio value and the true/risky portfolio value.

Credit value adjustment not only allows institutions to quantify counterparty risk as a single measurable P&L number, but also offers an opportunity for banks to dynamically manage, price, and hedge counterparty risk. The benefits of CVA are widely acknowledged.

CVA Introduction

CVA History

- ◆ Current market practice
 - ◆ Discounting using the LIBOR or risk-free curves
 - ◆ Using risk-free value for pricing, hedging, P&L
- ◆ Real counterparty reality
 - ◆ Having different credit qualities from LIBOR
 - ◆ Having risk of default
- ◆ ISA 39 (International Accounting Standard)
 - ◆ Requiring CVA in 2000 (mandatory)
 - ◆ Finance and Accounting owning CVA
 - ◆ Receiving a little attention in the beginning
 - ◆ Becoming significant risk after financial crises

CVA Introduction

CVA Definition

◆ Definition

$$\text{CVA} = \text{Risk free value} - \text{True (risky) value}$$

◆ Benefits

- ◆ Quantifying counterparty risk as a single P&L number
- ◆ Dynamically managing, pricing, and hedging counterparty risk

◆ Notes

- ◆ CVA is a topic of valuation and requires accurate pricing and risk-neutral measure
- ◆ Risk-free valuation is what we use every day. Risky valuation is less explored and less transparent

Risk-Free Valuation

- ◆ The risk-free valuation is what brokers quote or what trading systems or models normally report.
- ◆ A simple example to illustrate
 - A zero coupon bond paying X at T
- ◆ The risk-free value

$$V^F(0) = X \exp(-rT) = D(T)X$$

where r is risk-free interest rate and

$D(T) = \exp(-rT)$ is risk-free discount factor

Risky Valuation

- ◆ Default Modeling
 - ◆ Structural models
 - Studying default based on capital structure of a firm
 - ◆ Reduced form models
 - Characterizing default as a jump (Poisson) process
 - ◆ Market practitioners prefer the reduced form models due to
 - Mathematical tractability
 - Consistency with market observations as risk-neutral default probabilities can be backed out from bond prices and CDS spreads



Reference

<https://finpricing.com/lib/EqRainbow.html>